PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/051135-16.06.2004 17.06.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60J5/04, B60R1/06 Applicant INTIER AUTOMOTIVE CLOSURES S.P.A. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. 11 Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9)

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Verkerk, E

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4344



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051135

| | Вох | N | p. I Basis of the opinion | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | With | re lan | gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | | |
| | | lar | is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search or Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). | | | | | |
| 2. | With | n re ess | gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | | | |
| | a. ty | рe | of material: | | | | | |
| | |] | a sequence listing | | | | | |
| | | כ | table(s) related to the sequence listing | | | | | |
| b. format of material: | | | | | | | | |
| | |) | in written format | | | | | |
| | |] | in computer readable form | | | | | |
| | c. tin | ne | of filing/furnishing: | | | | | |
| | |) | contained in the international application as filed. | | | | | |
| | | כ | filed together with the international application in computer readable form. | | | | | |
| | | כ | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. | | | | | |
| 3 . | , | has cop | addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished. | | | | | |

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051135

| | Вох | No. II | Priority | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------|------------------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | ☐ The following document has not been furnished: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| | | ☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)). | | | | | | | | |
| | Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | . Additional observations, if necessary: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | State | ement | | | | | | | | |
| | Nove | elty (N) | | | Claims | | | | | |
| | | | | No: | Claims | 3-5,7 | | | | |
| | Inve | ntive st | ep (IS) | Yes: | Claims | 3-5,7 | | | | |
| | | | | No: | Claims | 1,2,6 | | | | |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | | | Yes: No: | Claims Claims | 1-7 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/051135

Re Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:
 P1: FR 2 760 035 A (PEUGEOT) 28 August 1998 (1998-08-28)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses, see figure 5 and 6 and page 7, lines 9-32 (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A door (6) for a motor vehicle having an inner side, facing, in use, the inside of the motor vehicle itself, and an opposite, outer, side, said door comprising a supporting framework, means (11) for supporting an external rear-view mirror (1), a lock for blocking the door (6) in a closing position, and a key assembly (9), which is operatively connected to said lock and can be selectively actuated by means of a control key (10) for disabling/enabling opening of said lock from the outside of the motor vehicle, said key assembly (9) comprising a casing (16) and a key unit (12) housed within said casing (16), which is operatively connected to said lock and can be displaced with respect to the casing (16) itself by means of said control key (10), said door being characterized in that said casing (16) of said key assembly (12) is rigidly (17,18) fixed to said framework, and in that said key assembly (12) is covered, towards the outer side of the door (6), by said supporting means (11) for supporting said rear-view mirror (1) and is accessible from the outside through a seat made in the supporting means (11) themselves.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel.

3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2 AND 6

Dependent claims 2 and 6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).